# Country Coordinating Mechanism Evolution: Summary of the Pilot

**UPDATED APRIL 2020** 



# CCM Evolution Pilot | Increasing CCM maturity across core responsibilities of health governance to collectively ensure impact of investments to end HIV, TB and malaria



#### **Pilot Overview**

X

#### Methodology

-

#### **Key Results and Learnings**

- Country Coordinating Mechanisms (CCMs) are a core part of the Global Fund model. Despite years of investment in technical assistance, evaluations in 2016 & 2017 identified significant opportunities for improvement
- Global Fund Board approved CCM Evolution Pilot in 18 countries (May 2018) to focus on sustainably increasing CCM performance across core responsibilities of health governance

A differentiated approach across a diversity of country contexts utilizing a maturity model to calibrate performance

# Inclusive assessment of CCM maturity in oversight, linkages, engagement & functioning Intervention Work in cooperation with countries and partners End Line Learn from countries what works to inform an

**Evolved Model** 

#### **Key Results**

- ✓ Gains in maturity across areas of responsibility with greatest growth in oversight
- Work remains in how to ensure meaningful engagement and operationalizing linkages into national structures

#### **Lessons Learned**

- Keep it simple. Streamline the evolution approach and simplify CCMs evaluation
- Create systemic improvements through the CCM Secretariats vs. fly in/fly out technical assistance
- Equip CCMs with the right interventions and incentives - the clearer the specific tools and the strategic intent, the easier it is for CCMs to change
- Differentiate CCMs not all will mature in the same way so flexibility is critical

Next Steps: Launching an evolved approach for <u>all CCMs</u> in 2020 – incorporating lessons learned from the pilot countries and partners. Driving more effective development and oversight of grants and improvements in the mechanisms of health governance to end diseases

#### 18 Pilot CCMs | 2018-2019

Country	Portfolio	
1. Benin	Core	
2. Burkina Faso *	High impact	
2. Cameroon	Core	
4. Lesotho	Core	
5. Malawi *	High impact	
6. Mozambique **	High impact	
7. Nepal	Core	
8. Papua New Guinea	Core	
9. Tanzania *	High impact	
10. Uganda	High impact	

#### **Standard CCMs**

- a. Country is representative (not an outlier)
- b. B2/C rated grants
- c. Support of CCM leaders for CCM Evolution

Country	Portfolio
1. Albania **	Focused
2. Colombia	Focused
3. Guatemala	Core
4. Romania	Focused

### **Transition Preparedness CCMs**

- a. Country is representative (not an outlier)
- b. Strong leadership

Country	Portfolio
1. Burundi	Core
2. Congo (Democratic Republic)	High impact
3. Haiti	Core
4. Niger	Core

## CCMs in Challenging Contexts

- a. Country is representative (not an outlier)
- b. Fertile political environment
- c. Strong leadership (preferably)

The Global Fund

<sup>\*</sup> Thanks to additional funding and support from GIZ. \*\* Albania and Mozambique participated in baseline assessments only.