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Revisions forthcoming to incorporate technical input from partners
Key messages

- Globally, there has been progress in malaria burden and mortality reduction due to expanded coverage of effective interventions and increased resources.
- However, we are currently off track to meet 2030 Global Technical Strategy (GTS) morbidity and mortality targets.
- Malaria is particularly concentrated in low-income countries, where domestic resources are lower.
- The future of malaria control is critically impacted by external factors, including population growth, migration, poverty, inequity, complex emergencies and climate change, combined with weak health systems and biological threats, such as insecticide and drug resistance.
- Reduction in effective intervention coverage carries a high risk of rebounds and epidemics.
- The future of malaria control is critically impacted by external factors, including population growth, migration, poverty, inequity, complex emergencies and climate change, combined with weak health systems and biological threats, such as insecticide and drug resistance.
- Acceleration of progress will require optimization of strategies and innovations both in delivery of available interventions and in new tools and approaches, as well as increased financial investment.
Global Technical Strategy (2016-2030) targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Milestones</th>
<th>Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reduce <strong>malaria mortality</strong> rates globally compared with 2015</td>
<td>At least 40%</td>
<td>At least 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reduce <strong>malaria case incidence</strong> globally compared with 2015</td>
<td>At least 40%</td>
<td>At least 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Eliminate malaria</strong> from countries in which malaria was transmitted in 2015</td>
<td>At least 10 countries</td>
<td>At least 35 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>Prevent re-establishment</strong> of malaria in all countries that are malaria free</td>
<td>Re-establishment prevented</td>
<td>Re-establishment prevented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Global Technical Strategy for Malaria 2016-2030 (WHO)
Significant reduction in malaria burden achieved between 2010–2018; but incidence reduction has levelled off since 2015, likely off-track for critical 2030 global targets

Malaria case incidence per 1,000 population at risk (all countries)

Malaria case mortality rate per 100,000 population at risk (all countries)

Note: Projected continuation of recent trend is based on fitting a linear (where the trend is increasing) or exponential (where declining) fit of the past 6 years (2013-2018) to project 2019-2030, assuming that the pace of program implementation continues as it has over the last 6 years without significant improvement or deterioration.

Source: Global Technical Strategy for Malaria 2016-2030 (WHO), World Malaria Report 2019 (WHO)
Distribution of malaria burden in 2018; overall burden concentrated in Sub-Saharan Africa, *P. vivax* more common in the Americas and Asia & the Pacific

70% of case and death burden concentrated in eleven High Burden High Impact countries; A pronounced decrease in malaria is needed in these countries to get back on track to meet the GTS milestones, prompting the country-led, partner supported HBHI approach.

1. 21 countries with highest likelihood to eliminate malaria by 2020
2. 11 countries with highest burden in terms of cases and death as of 2017
Source: World malaria report 2019 (WHO)

**Malaria endemic countries**
- Eliminating countries
- <1
- 1 to 10
- >10 to 100
- >100 to 250
- >250

**Incidence (per 1,000 pop. at risk)**

**High burden high impact countries (HBHI)**

**Malaria free countries**
- No Malaria
- Countries that eliminated malaria since 2000
HBHI is a holistic approach, with the 4 elements feeding into tangible actions through National Strategic Plan (NSP) implementation and concrete outcomes. 

Impact
Reduction in mortality & morbidity

Outcome
Implementation of prioritized operational plans derived from evidence-informed national malaria strategic plans

Output

- Political will
- Strategic information
- Better guidance
- Coordinated response

4 mutually reinforcing response elements

Effective Health System

Multisectoral response

Source: WHO Global Malaria Programme. HBHI = high burden high impact
What catalyzed the reduction in malaria burden and can this be sustained?

Significant scale-up of malaria interventions has driven progress, facilitated by the double impact of increased funding and decreased commodity prices; but these trends have now plateaued. New tools may lead to price increases in the future.

Global Technical Strategy targets for malaria funding:
- By 2020: US$ 6.4bn/year
- By 2025: US$ 7.7bn/year
- By 2030: US$ 8.7bn/year
The future of malaria control is critically impacted by a number of external threats, including climate change, complex emergencies, and political instability. Dips in intervention coverage can rapidly lead to resurgence.

- Climate change causes increasing global temperatures, extreme weather events, and change in rainy seasons.
- Malaria burden predicted to arise in contexts that previously did not have malaria (with low population immunity) and may decrease or disappear in tropical endemic regions.
- 73% of Emergency Fund allocated to malaria this cycle – 55% of these funds used to respond to extreme weather events.
- Nearly two thirds of malaria burden is in fragile states, compared to one third for HIV and TB.

### Disease burden by disease and fragile States index (alert level) 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Fragile States Index (alert level)</th>
<th>90-100</th>
<th>100-110</th>
<th>&gt;110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The higher the index (0-120) the higher the State's vulnerability to collapse or conflict. A score of 90-120 is classed as the "Alert" level.
External Malaria Threats: growing populations, increased costs of newer technologies and stagnant resources

- **Growing need:** Low-income & Low-middle income population growth is rapid and expected to increase by ~0.6-0.8 bn people by 2030.

- **Resource gap:** Financial gap for Global Technical Strategy is large; WHO estimates **$6.5 billion needed in 2020 alone.**
  - Increased prices of newer technologies contribute to the gap.

- **Donor financing stagnant:** Limited increase in absolute funding between 2017-2019.

- **Domestic financing:** Malaria spending in low and lower-middle income countries improving but not sufficient to meet demands of the response. Malaria is particularly concentrated in low-income countries, which have fewer domestic resources. Shocks to the global economy may have a disproportionate impact on lower-income countries’ fiscal capacity for health, and malaria spending.

Maintaining and expanding coverage for larger populations and higher costs of new technologies will require increased financing from multiple sources.
Vector Control Challenges: barriers to achieving effective coverage - LLIN example

Challenges to achieving access and usage targets are myriad:

- How to target improvements in access and use on a granular level?
- What are the acceptable costs to optimize access?
- What is the right strategy for urban areas/lower risk and lower net use areas?
- What are the right strategies for identifying and addressing barriers associated with gender, age, socioeconomic status, and legal status?

Additional barriers: Population estimates, sleeping spaces and household size impact quantification and effective coverage.

Exploring tailored delivery approaches based on local context to improve coverage and usage of interventions to maximize impact.

Biological threats to Vector Control

Insecticide resistance (IR)
- 90% (73) of reporting countries have a main vector with resistance to ≥1 of the 4 main insecticide classes.
- Role of IR in stalling of progress is debated, but the Global Fund and many partners consider proactive steps to combat IR to be critical.
- Scale-up of PBO and dual active ingredient nets: important but still limited by product availability, price and (for dual ai nets) evidence base.

Residual transmission
- Nets and IRS are highly effective at reducing malaria transmission by predominantly attacking night biting and indoor biting/resting vectors.
- Even at optimal performance of our main tools residual transmission will remain - from outdoor and/or early evening/dawn biting vectors.
- Tools that would combat residual transmission are in development, including attractive toxic sugar baits, spatial repellents and gene drive.

Overlapping needs
- New tools: from evidence building and piloting to large-scale deployment.
- Investment in core epidemiological and entomological capacity in countries is critical to identify needs and deploy interventions.

Global Fund should play critical role in facilitating rapid scale-up of new tools, building capacity for entomologic surveillance, and incentivizing implementation of policies to address insecticide resistance and residual transmission.

Sources: WHO Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Monitoring 2018, World Malaria Report 2019
Case management Challenges: expanding access to quality early diagnosis & treatment

Multi-faceted issues to address:

- Variation in care-seeking behavior across countries
- Progress in expansion of testing and treatment within the public sector, but how to assess and improve quality?
- Growing private sector share in delivering malaria care which is not regulated or reported
- Still nearly 40% of children with fever in Sub-Saharan Africa do not access care
- Human rights and gender-related barriers to access
- Extensive barriers to diagnosis and treatment for those affected by conflict and natural disasters (i.e. IDPs, refugees, people living/working in remote areas)
- Expansion of community approach to access under 5s with Integrated Community Case Management (iCCM) but consistent challenges with availability of non-malaria commodities, limiting potential for greater impact on under 5 morbidity and mortality
- Need for consolidated data points from supply chain, M&E, RSSH to define the context-specific strategies that consider access & outcomes

Opportunity to extend and optimize the reach and quality of public sector and community services, and potentially link with non-malaria iCCM commodities. Need to improve access to quality malaria diagnosis and care where large populations seek services in the private sector.

Source: World Malaria Report 2019
Biological threats to effective case management

**Drug resistance**

- Artemisinin resistance is widespread in the Greater Mekong Subregion, and has also been detected at a significant prevalence (>5%) in Guyana, Papua New Guinea and Rwanda.

- Effective **surveillance systems** support **early detection** of changes in drug efficacy and enable rapid action to **mitigate** the impact of resistance and **prevent** its spread.

**Diagnostic efficacy**

- The HRP2 antigen is the predominant target of the 412 million *P. falciparum*-detecting malaria RDTs sold annually.

- Parasites that no longer express the HRP2 gene lead to false negative RDT tests.

- **28 countries** reported HRP2 deletions in 2018. In Eritrea the prevalence of dual pfhrp2 and pfhrp3 deletions among symptomatic patients reached 80%, requiring a shift in diagnostic strategy.

Parasite adaptation to resist antimalarial drugs and evade detection by common diagnostic tests is a threat to the global malaria response requiring a pipeline of novel drugs and diagnostics and expanded focus on elimination.

Maximizing impact: enhancing generation and use of strategic information

Strengthen surveillance as a core intervention targeting:

- Availability of quality triangulated data for existing metrics
- Development of new metrics & systems to capture micro dynamics in access, quality, utilization & impact

Unrestricted allocation – Across intervention types
*By epidemiologic strata, what combination of interventions maximizes impact?*

Unrestricted allocation – Within intervention types
*Different types of LLINs, AIs for IRS, ACTs, regimens for SMC might be required depending on the prevailing resistance profile*

Budget restricted allocation – Across and within intervention types
*Tradeoffs within and between interventions to maximize impact*

Utilize existing & new data at local level, including qualitative data strategies such as Malaria Matchbox; evoke sequential prioritization to choose the optimal suite of tools in the right places

### Stratification

1. Unrestricted allocation – Across intervention types
   *By epidemiologic strata, what combination of interventions maximizes impact?*

2. Unrestricted allocation – Within intervention types
   *Different types of LLINs, AIs for IRS, ACTs, regimens for SMC might be required depending on the prevailing resistance profile*

3. Budget restricted allocation – Across and within intervention types
   *Tradeoffs within and between interventions to maximize impact*

### Modeling

4. Delivery and Implementation
   *Implementation strategies (microplanning, execution support, monitoring, and reprogramming) to ensure effective coverage, especially in highest risk groups*

Investments in timely availability, quality and use of data can improve impact and cost-effectiveness.
Maximizing Impact: leveraging RSSH investments to achieve and sustain malaria control & elimination

Addressing capacity & governance needs to design & monitor sophisticated programs:
- Improve management capacity to deliver key services and interventions where they are needed most
  - At national level and also in the context of decentralization
- Investment in core epidemiological and entomological HR capacity in countries - critical to identifying needs and deploying interventions

Integrated service delivery:
- Optimal integration and linkage to care to ensure efficient patient-centered services including through the use of community systems
- Strengthen and evaluate innovative approaches to enhance linkage to care and deliver effective integrated health services

Community Systems Strengthening
- Community based monitoring can help identify and address bottlenecks and gaps in service provision
- Rights-based, respectful approaches and meaningful participation of affected communities are crucial for success of malaria elimination efforts

Universal Health Coverage (UHC) Service Coverage Index by Country, 2017, for monitoring SDG indicator 3.8.1

Focused health and community systems investments can maximize impact on malaria control and elimination.

Source: World Bank Data Explorer 2020
Maximizing Impact: acceleration of elimination to 2030 targets

- Support eliminating countries using regional, national and subnational approaches even while majority of resources are directed towards high burden areas
- Tackling elimination challenges including *P. vivax* and radical cure, cross border malaria and mobile, hard to reach populations
- Innovative financing to push for sustainability and prevention of reestablishment

Innovative approaches and resources needed to continue progress in countries close to elimination.

Source: World malaria report 2019 (WHO)
Maximizing Impact: accelerated introduction of new tools will increase impact

New tools (products, techniques, implementation strategies) in the pipeline:

- **Address biological threats of drug and insecticide resistance:** New insecticides, antimalarials
- **Attack residual transmission:** Attractive toxic sugar bait, spatial repellents, others
- **New techniques:** Gene drive, endectocides, monoclonal antibodies
- **Opportunity to use existing tools in expanded ways:** IPTi, SMC
- **Accelerating elimination:** Long-lasting vaccine, gene drive

But challenges to accelerated adoption include:

- Timelines and requirements of regulatory processes
- Evidence generation for policy setting
- Cost and relative prioritization of new tools

Rapid scale-up of approved new tools can have a significant impact on global malaria progress.
Maximizing Impact: opportunity for the Global Fund Strategy to play a transformative role in the malaria eradication agenda

Key discussions will focus on optimally balancing strategic approaches to maximize impact:

- Concentrating resources to achieve significant reduction in high burden settings while continuing progress towards elimination;
- Balancing lifesaving malaria specific programming with needed health and community system investments; and
- Balancing scale-up of current interventions with rapid development and deployment of new tools and approaches.

Plasmodium falciparum infection prevalence (children aged 2–10 years) projected for the years 2030 (A) and 2050 (B)
The Lancet Commission on Malaria Eradication, 2019

As 65% of international financing for malaria, the Global Fund Strategy must drive accelerated progress towards the 2030 targets for malaria.
COVID-19 threatens to undermine progress on malaria

- The COVID-19 pandemic will have both direct and indirect impact on health as it tests the resilience of health systems around the world.
- As countries respond to the pandemic the potential health, economic, and social impacts are not yet known.

Malaria:
- A WHO analysis concluded that malaria deaths in Sub-Saharan Africa could reach 769,000, twice the number of deaths reported in the region in 2018, in the face of potential disruptions to bed net campaigns and access to antimalarial medicines. This could represent a return to malaria mortality levels last seen 20 years ago.

It is critical to maintain malaria control and elimination activities
- Maintenance is critical to prevent upsurges in malaria morbidity and mortality, as well as to reduce additional stressors on health systems.
- Continuity of service provision will require modifications in delivery and adaptations as appropriate to the context, considering existing capacity, and local recommendations for social distancing.
- Market and supply chain disruptions pose risks to timely delivery of interventions.
- Ensuring continuity of malaria interventions and possible consideration of extraordinary measures will require flexibility in programming and financing.